

## The Righteous Teachers Response to Boris Muhammad

*“...And with all thy getting get understanding.” Proverbs 4:7.*

### Opening Remarks: Framing

Brother Boris Muhammad, we commend you for putting together a very remarkable paper. Very impressive indeed. We can see why you are respected and highly regarded as a scholar in your circle. Your paper demonstrates a scholarly level of knowledge and research. Although, we have every reference you included in your paper, with exception of maybe two. Your list of references from Messenger Elijah Muhammad, peace be upon him, was very impressive and deserves a standing ovation.

The sheer volume gives many the appearance that your position is axiomatically correct. We give you high marks for doing so. Your paper could easily be called a “masterpiece,” if this was 1975. However, based on the conclusions you’ve drawn in your paper, we believe you failed to take in consideration significant events which have taken place since then – **namely, the death of Messenger Elijah Muhammad and the subsequent fall and rise of the Lost Found Nation of Islam, an event foretold us by him.**

Kudos for your definition and explanation on the difference between Exegesis and Eisegesis and how it’s used to deal with “Divine Truth, or the Teachings of Messenger Elijah Muhammad.” Reasoning that you applied “Exegesis,” to reach the conclusions in your paper.

- *“But before we begin, (I) want to emphasize the importance of proper methodology when we try to interpret Divine Truth (whether scripture or the Teachings of the Honorable Elijah Muhammad). Whenever we intend to properly interpret the “Word of God”, **we must not apply our own ideas to the text (or what is stated)**. This would be referred to as “Eisegesis”. EISEGESIS: “Eisegesis is the interpretation of a passage based on a subjective, non-analytical reading.”*

Our approach is simpler. We advise using the simple guidelines Messenger Elijah Muhammad, gave his Minister’s in 1965, pertaining to him and his work as Messenger of Allah, below.

- *“...study the life and works of the Messenger in the BIBLE and HOLY QUR’AN. There is NOTHING in the Messenger’s life which is not WRITTEN in a book. **Study everything in the book.** Defend the Messenger with everything YOU LEARN from the books PERTAINING TO HIM and **PUT IT IN THE PROPER PLACE AND TIME.** Study the Bible and Qur’an...You are bearing witness to the people that I am the man from God. **YOU ARE TO SHOW PROOF THAT COMPARES WITH PREDICTIONS.**” (March 11, 1965, Letter to Ministers)*

These are the guidelines we advise for the pure at heart to do the same.

We feel you did a disservice to your reading audience by throwing in the mix the name of Mr. Silis Muhammad. We didn't make Mr. Silis Muhammad, or his name a part of our paper. The focus of our paper was on providing evidence that Messenger Elijah Muhammad taught and wrote about a future ruler. We intentionally made no attempt to identify the future ruler to prevent any unnecessary distraction from the point. We found your mentioning him 4 times in a desire to disprove Mr. Silis Muhammad as that future ruler, which we did not put forth, both disrespectful and distracting.

Disrespectful because you called Him Minister. Mr. Muhammad was never elevated to the lofty position of Minister in The Lost-Found Nation of Islam by The Honorable Elijah Muhammad, nor has He ever claimed to be Minister, Himself. We understand you have a “**belief**” to protect. However, placing Mr. Muhammad's name in your paper wholly detracted from its quality. In the future, hopefully you can stick to the issues at hand.

### **The Issues on the Table:**

#### **1.**

We observed, in many respects that you went away from the point of our paper. Therefore, we found it difficult to determine exactly which issue to address in your paper. You introduced so many that was not part of our paper. However, the main premise of our paper “After Me Comes the Future Ruler 1,” was that “another man” comes after Messenger Elijah Muhammad. **To this point you agreed with us.** The difference is, you chose to call it the “2<sup>nd</sup> Coming of Master Fard Muhammad/a group of Executing Angels. We will not deal with that in this response.

Only to say, that if we conclude on your theory that is what he meant, and compare it against what Messenger Elijah Muhammad, said in his 1958, Savior's Day Speech, “***But after Elijah, or rather while Elijah is yet preaching, comes the Lord....***” Then, that event should have already taken place. If the Lord, was to come while he (**Elijah**), is yet preaching to the people, then we should have seen the coming of Master Fard Muhammad and/or His Executing Angels, prior to, but no later than 1975. But, it didn't happen. 1975 is the year when the voice of Elijah went out the world forever and we have not heard his live voice in 40 years.

And, consider your thinking, in light of the fact that the Nation of Islam “fell” after his death. What does that say? Obviously, that could not be what the Last Messenger meant. You didn't seem to consider the “rising” again of the Nation of Islam. You have already defaulted against his statement, “After me comes God Himself,” having any meaning to the collective body of Black people. Where does that leave you?

#### **2.**

**We appreciate the fact, you pointed out that the man written at Revelation 1:18, is the fulfilment of the lamb of God,** a subject we were planning to cover more extensively

in our “After Me Comes the Future Ruler,” series. **Superb!** You have our thanks for doing so. Only you placed Messenger Elijah Muhammad, in the role of the lamb of God, that fulfils Revelation 1:18. Not only into that role, but the role of the “Ancient of Days,” the “prophet Like Moses,” the “Future Ruler,” and the “New Ruler.” To such torturous wrenching, we will not deal with either.

However, we did wonder how you arrived at that conclusion, considering the fact that Messenger Elijah Muhammad, also tells us he is the Spiritual Woman of Revelation. (See Message to the Black in America, page 127) As you know, the Woman in Revelation gives **spiritual birth** to the lamb of God. Can he be both, mother and child?

### 3.

“You” interpreted, Revelation 1:14, and the texture and color of hair (white), as “metaphoric,” of the characteristics of the Ancient Original Black Man in general, and not one single man, or the lamb of God (who is The Messenger in your mind). Your words below:

- *“**This is symbolic** and there is no need for the “person” to literally have eyes “as flames of fire”. There is so much in this (**which can be gone into at another time**). Even the description of the “head” AND “hairs” (this is odd, why mention the HEAD and the HAIRS to be like “wool, as white as snow”?), **this is also symbolic/metaphorical. (I) will give a few examples:** ...Again, the “hair being white like wool as white as snow” is only a **metaphorical** way of saying that the Blackman is an Ancient Original man.”*

### The Scriptural use of Metaphors:

We found it interesting on this issue you play both sides of the fence. When the use of a spiritual metaphor **supports** your eisegesis, The Honorable Elijah Muhammad is the lamb, it can point to an individual. Even though he says, “...**I don’t know how much is lamb about me.**” When it **supports** our exegesis, white woolly hair and Ancient of Days, it is measly a metaphor referring to all Black people.

**However, because this point bears on the person in Revelation 1:18, and is the foundation of your paper, then we chose to deal only with this issue.**

### Resolving the Issue:

It is our contention that Messenger Elijah Muhammad, does not fit the physical description of the Man written at Revelation 1:14, “**His head and his hairs were white like wool, as white as snow,**” therefore, he could not be the Man he speaks of in Revelation 1:18. So crucial to your argument to overcome, was the hair color and texture style of the man in Revelation 1:14. It is here, where you asserted your own “meaning,” to these verses, being “metaphoric” of the Ancient Original Black Man in general. To this point you framed examples, but yet didn’t provided any actual proof that the Messenger Elijah Muhammad,

says it is (metaphoric.)

Since, in your paper you dealt with Revelation 1:17 and 18, concluding them to refer to Messenger Elijah Muhammad. Then we assume, you mean that Revelation 1:14 through 16, is “metaphoric” of the Ancient Original Black Man in general.

**Question: Does Messenger Elijah Muhammad, agree with your view, that these verses are a “metaphoric meaning” of the Ancient Original Black Man in general? Or, is his view that Revelation 1:14 through 1:18, is associated to one so-called American Negro he speaks of? Let us see which side of the questions does Messenger Elijah Muhammad, fall?**

It is agreed the man written down at Revelation 1:14, is shrouded in symbolism, none which we feel detract from the fact that he is the person, Messenger Elijah Muhammad, refers to in Revelation 1:18. Certainly, men don't walk around with “**eyes as a (flame of fire)**” similar to the statement written in verse 18, of Chapter 1, “**I am alive forevermore,**” where a man don't live forever. Because, these verses have symbolic meaning, it is appropriate to look to Messenger Elijah Muhammad, and see if he provides keys of interpretation that gives us guidance to deepen our outstanding and to answer the questions above.

**In Our Savior Has Arrived, page 18.** Messenger Elijah Muhammad, resolves for us the meaning of “**fire,**” in the case of Almighty God, he writes,

**“Jehovah appeared to Moses in the bush. Moses was made to see the bush in a (flame of fire) though there was no actual fire. The (fire) represented the (anger) of Jehovah against Pharaoh and his people. It was a declaration of a divine war against the Egyptians for the deliverance of Israel.”**

Here, Messenger Elijah Muhammad, tells us that Moses seeing the “**flame of fire**” in a bush is not a literal fire burning in a bush, but rather represented Allah's “**anger**” against Pharaoh and his people, for what they have done to our people over the past 400 years. Moses, you should know is Messenger Elijah Muhammad. Thus, here from Messenger Elijah Muhammad, we have the meaning to the term “**flame of fire.**” That is means “**anger,**” or to be “**angry.**”

The term, “**flame of fire,**” is also used in Revelation 1:14, there associated with the lamb of God, “**and his eyes were as a (flame of fire.)**” From your paper in reference #3, Messenger Elijah Muhammad, tells us of the anger of the lamb of God, in his words,

**“...It's there in your Bible in (Revelation.) God was ANGRY, the lamb was ANGRY, everybody was Angry...”**



Since the term, **“flames of fire,”** refers to being **“angry,”** and is illustrated, in Revelation 1:14. Then the symbolic term only means that the man is **“angry!”** Certainly, it is no mystery that a man has eyes. Apparently, it’s not his physical eyes that’s flaming with fire. It can only be his mind’s eyes, which is intensely **angered** with the enemy of his people, just as Messenger Elijah Muhammad, points out in reference **#3**. In as much as Messenger Elijah Muhammad, provides this observation about the lamb of God, proves he saw the prophecy related of that one Man, and not as a “metaphor,” of the Ancient Original Black Man in general.

In a second place Messenger Elijah Muhammad, does the same thing applicable to verse 16, in the same Chapter, in association with the symbolism of the man having a tongue like a **“two-edged sword.”** We know men do not walk around with two-edged swords in their mouths. If you pick up any Bible dictionary, about the “two-edged sword,” you will find it gives the meaning **(as that man or the lamb of God)** being given or having the Word of God in his mouth, or the word of Truth in his mouth, **to fight his enemies.**

Messenger Elijah Muhammad literally states the same words in excerpt **#4** of your paper, with respect to the man in Revelation 1:14 through 18. Theology of Time, July 30, 1975, **“Out of the lamb's mouth proceeded great words that the enemy couldn't stand,”** said he. Here, in a second observation does Messenger Elijah Muhammad confirms that one Man (the lamb of God) in association with these symbolic utterances.

**If Messenger Elijah Muhammad list these attributes or characteristic linked to the lamb of God, it is obvious he understood these verses are related to Revelation 1:18. Thus, according to Messenger Elijah Muhammad, it is concluded that Revelation 1:14 through 1:18, is part of the same prophecy of one man (The Future Ruler) coming after him, and not a “metaphoric” reference to the Ancient Black Original Man in general. Here, we have put Revelation 1:14, thereby Daniel 7:9, back in its proper context according to the prophecy in both books, which aligns with Messenger Elijah Muhammad’s, understanding. The questions are hereby resolved to its proper conclusion.**

Dear reader, as you can clearly see, Revelation 1:14, through 1:18, is about a man, (the lamb of God), who is **(angry)** with the enemies of the people of God, an enemy who today still hold our people within his borders, as was Almighty God, Master Fard Muhammad, angry when He found us on His coming.

It is simply mind-boggling of you Brother Boris, applying your “metaphoric interpretation,” to Revelation 1: 14, being the Ancient Original Man to get around the hair, rather than seek more understanding of its symbolic meanings from Messenger Elijah Muhammad. It is crystal clear Messenger Elijah Muhammad, applied these spiritual characteristics to the individual written down at Revelation 1:18, including his hair. A man having white hair needs no interpreting once you put aside the other scriptural symbolisms. In the end all

we are talking about is a man. For, there is no god besides Man. Think on that.

**Thus, according to Messenger Elijah Muhammad, the texture and the color of his hair, is not “metaphoric” of the Ancient Original Black Man, generally, but applies to the man himself. You applied the wrong meaning to the context of Revelation 1:14 through 1:8. In doing so you debunk the whole of your paper.**

**It is sustained that Messenger Elijah Muhammad, does not fit the physical description of the man in Revelation 1:14, and therefore is not the man written down at Revelation 1:18.** We found it quite surprising, a man of your learning and research totally miscalculated this, especially when you brought the proof of it to the table. Based on your lack of proper discernment and lack of understanding of the mathematical language of theology, your whole position is overturned.

**In conclusion. All told, then the man in Revelation 1:14, from the texture and color of his hair (**white and curly**); to his (**anger**) with the enemy of he and his people; right down to his having the truth of the **Word of God in his mouth**, to fight the enemies of God and his people; **right through to verse 18, is the same man**, Messenger Elijah Muhammad, tells us is, “**one of the mentally dead so called Negroes,**” who is also born among the spiritually dead, first. **Thus, in Revelation 1:14 through 18, he is pointing to another man coming after him.****

During June 4, 1972, Theology of Time, speech Messenger Elijah Muhammad said to the people, “***It is up to you to listen, and as you listen, read and remember.***” Dear reader, we could not encourage you more, to read the Messenger’s books and articles. Listen to as many audio tapes and lectures you can, still out in the public domain. Remember it all if you can, take it to heart and study it, study his life, and above all compare it to the predictions of the prophecies, and then put it in the proper place and time. Do it for yourself, it is best for you. Do it and your foundation will be strong with Allah’s Truth. We have a lot of work yet to do to free ourselves from Pharaoh’s land. (The Beast)

Allah, He is the Truth. He is the Truth in You. There is no other Guide. Peace and blessings to the pure at heart and the righteous family of God.

The 5% Righteous Teachers

Upcoming: ***The Spiritual Woman of Revelation: “My Mission is to Give Life”***